

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	' FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,802	09/18/2003	Darrin W. Kabel	702.256	6140
38933 GARMIN LTD		Darrin W. Kabel 07/06/2007 ΓΙΟΝΑL, INC.	EXAMINER .	
C/O GARMIN	INTERNATIONAL, I	WEISKOPF, MARIE		
ATTN: Legal - 1200 EAST 15		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OLATHE, KS	66062	·	3661	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2007	. PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/665,802	KABEL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Marie A. Weiskopf	3661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	<u>ay 2007</u> .	•					
,,,							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-10,12-20,29-33,35-40 and 43-46 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-40 and 43</u> is/are allowed.							
,	6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-10,12-20,29-33,35,36 and 44-46</u> is/are rejected.						
, <u> </u>	7) Claim(s) 16-19 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
·	<b>,</b>						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3661

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 1. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-9, 12-15, 20, 29-32, 35-36, and 44-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fruchterman et al. (US005470233A) in view of Michaelson et al (US 6,469,664).
- a. Per claim 1, Fruchterman teaches a method including calculating a course between two or more waypoints (column 4, lines 47-54), analyzing cartographic data for the course for user identified criteria to avoid (column 17, lines 24-34), and providing an alert signal when the course contains user identified criteria (column 17, lines 34-37 and lines 47-49). Fruchterman teaches using GPS to determine present location coordinates (column 7, lines 9-11) and a predetermined area around the area of exclusion (column 17, lines 24-49). Fruchterman does not teach a predetermined area around a user with a predetermined shaped based on heading. Michaelson et al discloses a method,

Art Unit: 3661

apparatus and computer program products for alerting surface vessels to hazardous conditions, including analyzing cartographic data for a predetermined area around the present location for criteria to avoid, wherein the shape of the predetermined area is based on a heading. (See figure 20; Column 8, lines 6-11; Column 14, line 56 – Column 20, line 46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to use heading information derived from the GPS data received in the Fruchterman invention, to calculate an area around the user, as taught by Michaelson et al, in order to create a warning zone around the user based upon the direction the user is actually heading.

- b. Per claim 2, Fruchterman teaches calculating the course to avoid the area (column 17, lines 47-48).
- c. Per claim 4, Fruchterman teaches recalculating the course to avoid the area (column 17, lines 47-48).
- d. Per claim 6, Fruchterman teaches warning the user when approaching the area of exclusion (column 17, lines 34-37).
- e. Per claim 7, Fruchterman teaches that the area of exclusion can be any area relevant to a blind pedestrian and gives an example of a body of water (column 5, lines 1-10).
- f. Per claim 8, Fruchterman teaches a method of calculating a course between two or more waypoints (column 4, lines 47-54), analyzing cartographic data for the course for user identified criteria to avoid (column 17, lines 24-34), and calculating the course to avoid the user identified criteria (column 17, lines 34-37 and lines 47-49).

Art Unit: 3661

Fruchterman teaches using GPS to determine present location coordinates (column 7, lines 9-11) and a predetermined area around the area of exclusion (column 17, lines 24-49). Fruchterman does not teach a predetermined area around a user with a predetermined shaped based on heading. Michaelson et al discloses a method, apparatus and computer program products for alerting surface vessels to hazardous conditions, including analyzing cartographic data for a predetermined area around the present location for criteria to avoid, wherein the shape of the predetermined area is based on a heading. (See figure 20; Column 8, lines 6-11; Column 14, line 56 — Column 20, line 46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to use heading information derived from the GPS data received in the Fruchterman invention, to calculate an area around the user, as taught by Michaelson et al, in order to create a warning zone around the user based upon the direction the user is actually heading.

- g. Per claim 9, Fruchterman teaches recalculating the course to avoid the area (column 17, lines 47-48).
- h. Per claim 12, Fruchterman teaches warning the user when approaching the area of exclusion (column 17, lines 34-37).
- i. Per claim 13, Fruchterman teaches that the area of exclusion can be any area relevant to a blind pedestrian and gives an example of a body of water (column 5, lines 1-10).
- j. Per claim 14, Fruchterman teaches using GPS to determine present location coordinates (column 7, lines 9-11) analyzing cartographic data for the course

Art Unit: 3661

for user identified criteria to avoid (column 17, lines 24-34) around a predetermined area (column 19, lines 60-65). Fruchterman does not teach a predetermined area around a user with a predetermined shaped based on heading. Michaelson et al discloses a method, apparatus and computer program products for alerting surface vessels to hazardous conditions, including analyzing cartographic data for a predetermined area around the present location for criteria to avoid, wherein the shape of the predetermined area is based on a heading. (See figure 20; Column 8, lines 6-11; Column 14, line 56 – Column 20, line 46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to use heading information derived from the GPS data received in the Fruchterman invention, to calculate an area around the user, as taught by Michaelson et al, in order to create a warning zone around the user based upon the direction the user is actually heading.

- k. Per claim 15, Fruchterman teaches providing an alert signal when the area contains user identified criteria (column 17, lines 34-37 and lines 47-49).
- I. Per claim 20, Fruchterman teaches that the area of exclusion can be any area relevant to a blind pedestrian and gives an example of a body of water (column 5, lines 1-10).
- m. Per claim 29, Fruchterman teaches a computer readable medium having a set of computer readable instructions (column 17, lines 53-57) for causing a device to perform a method including calculating a course between two or more waypoints (column 4, lines 47-54), analyzing cartographic data for the course for user identified criteria to avoid (column 17, lines 24-34), and providing an alert signal when the course

Art Unit: 3661

contains user identified criteria (column 17, lines 34-37 and lines 47-49). Fruchterman teaches using GPS to determine present location coordinates (column 7, lines 9-11) and a predetermined area around the area of exclusion (column 17, lines 24-49). Fruchterman does not teach a predetermined area around a user with a predetermined shaped based on speed. Michaelson et al teaches a GPS collision avoidance system that uses GPS data to determine velocity and calculates a predetermined area based on a velocity vector (See figure 20; Column 8, lines 6-11; Column 14, line 56 - Column 20, line 46) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to use velocity information derived from the GPS data received in the Fruchterman invention, to calculate an area around the user, as taught by Michaelson et al, in order to create a warning zone around the user. It would be obvious to create the warning area around the user to be a bigger size depending on the speed of the user because the faster the user is going the bigger the area necessary to avoid. If the user is going at a slower speed, it would be easier to make maneuvers to avoid the exclusion area compared to if the user was going at higher speeds.

- n. Per claims 30 and 31, Fruchterman teaches calculating the course to avoid the area (column 17, lines 47-48).
- o. Per claim 32, Fruchterman teaches recalculating the course to avoid the area (column 17, lines 47-48).
- p. Per claim 35, Fruchterman teaches warning the user when approaching the area of exclusion (column 17, lines 34-37).

Art Unit: 3661

q. Per claim 36, Fruchterman teaches that the area of exclusion can be any area relevant to a blind pedestrian and gives an example of a body of water (column 5, lines 1-10).

Per claim 44, Fruchterman teaches a navigation device including a r. processor, a GPS receiver, and a memory to determine present location coordinates (column 7, lines 9-11) and to analyze cartographic data for user identified criteria to avoid (column 17, lines 24-34). Fruchterman teaches using GPS to determine present location coordinates (column 7, lines 9-11) and a predetermined area around the area of exclusion (column 17, lines 24-49). Fruchterman does not teach a predetermined area around a user with a predetermined shaped based on speed and heading. Tognazzini teaches a GPS collision avoidance system that uses GPS data to determine velocity and heading to calculate a predetermined area based on a heading and a velocity vector (See figure 20; Column 8, lines 6-11; Column 14, line 56 - Column 20, line 46) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to use velocity and heading information derived from the GPS data received in the Fruchterman invention, to calculate an area around the user, as taught by Michaelson et al, in order to create a warning zone around the user. It would be obvious to create the warning area around the user to be a bigger size depending on the speed of the user because the faster the user is going the bigger the area necessary to avoid. If the user is going at a slower speed, it would be easier to make maneuvers to avoid the exclusion area compared to if the user was going at higher speeds.

Art Unit: 3661

s. Per claim 45, Fruchterman teaches warning the user when approaching the area of exclusion (column 17, lines 34-37).

- t. Per claim 46, Fruchterman teaches recording a track log (column 20, lines 15-31).
- 2. Claims 3, 10 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fruchterman and Michaelson et al in view of Colley (US 5,592,382).
- a. Per claims 3, 10 and 33, Fruchterman and Michaelson et al fail to disclose calculating the course including identifying one or more non-user selected waypoints on the course. Colley, however, discusses the use of having intermediate waypoints along a segment to be able to establish that a vehicle is going the correct way and staying on course, which is well known in the art. These intermediary segments are not user defined but are created in search of an optimal course to a destination waypoint.

  (Column 2, lines 14-20). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to create intermediate waypoints which are not based upon user selected waypoints in order to keep track of segments the user must travel and determine that the user is indeed staying on the correct path as taught by Colley.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 16-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art, individually or in combination, fails to disclose, teach or suggest determining the shape of the predetermined area

Art Unit: 3661

based upon the radii extending along the heading from the present location, the radii including an angle formed from line segments emanating from the present location and wherein the angle includes user selectable values of greater than 0 degrees to 360 degrees.

- 4. Claims 37-40 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art, individually or in combination, fails to disclose, teach or suggest wherein the processor operates on a route calculation algorithm to calculate a couse between the two or more way points based on the user identified criteria of the cartographic data and wherein the processor operates on the route calculation algorithm to identify one or more non-user selected waypoints between the two or more waypoints. The closest prior arts, Frutcherman and Michaelson et al fail to disclose finding non-user selected waypoints between the two or more selected waypoints.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marie A. Weiskopf whose telephone number is (571) 272-6288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:00 AM and 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MW

THOMAS BLACK THOMA